arXiv:1109.2999v1 [math.DS] 14 Sep 2011

ω-RECURRENCE IN COCYCLES

JON CHAIKA AND DAVID RALSTON

Abstract. After relating the notion of ω-recurrence in skew products to the range of values taken by partial ergodic sums and Lyapunov exponents, ergodic $\mathbb{Z}$-valued cocycles over an irrational rotation are presented in detail. First, the generic situation is studied and shown to be $1/n$-recurrent. It is then shown that for any $\omega(n) < n^{-\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon > 1/2$, there are uncountably many infinite staircases (a certain specific cocycle over a rotation) which are *not* ω-recurrent, and therefore have positive Lyapunov exponent. A further section makes brief remarks regarding cocycles over interval exchange transformations of periodic type.

1. Introduction

The notion of ω-*recurrence* in an ergodic system $\{X, \mu, T\}$ as given by Krengel[10] is a way in measuring the rate at which points visit sets of positive measure. Let $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be nonincreasing and regularly varying ($\omega(kn) \sim \omega(n)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$), and for a set A of positive and finite measure, consider for each $x \in X$ the series

$$\zeta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_A(T^i x)\omega(i). \tag{1}$$

Under the assumption that $\{X, \mu, T\}$ is ergodic, $\zeta(x)$ is seen to diverge either on a null set of x or for almost-every x (regardless of the choice of A); the notion is fruitful for $\mu(X) = \infty$ and $\omega(n) \to 0$. If the series diverges for almost ever x, the system is said to be ω-recurrent. Implications between rates of ω-recurrence and mixing properties were studied in [1, 2]; in those works towers over the dyadic adding machine were considered explicitly.

In this work we will concern ourselves with the following situation: let $\{Y, \nu\}$ be a probability space, and $S : Y \to Y$ an ergodic transformation. Let $f : Y \to G$ be a function into a countable discrete group G, and denote the identity element of G by e. Let $X = Y \times G$ and μ be the product of ν and the counting measure on G. Define the *skew transformation*

$$T(x, g) = (S(x), g + f(x)),$$

and assume that $\{X, \mu, T\}$ is ergodic. Then the sequence of ergodic sums

$$e, f(x), f(x) + f(Tx), \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x), \ldots$$

may be viewed as an analogue of a random walk on G.

Date: September 15, 2011.

The first author is supported by NSF grant #1004372. The second author is supported by the Center for Advanced Studies at Ben Gurion University of the Negev as well as the Israel Council for Higher Education.

In §2 we will relate a function $\rho(n)$ which tracks the range of a typical random walk and the notion of ω-recurrence to the set $Y \times \{e\}$ (Theorem 2.5). This machinery will be applied in §3 to cocycles over irrational rotations; let $Y = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and S be rotation by $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and let $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ be of bounded variation. In the particular case that

$$f(x) = \chi_{[0,1/2)}(x) - \chi_{[1/2,1)}(x),$$

the system is called the *infinite staircase*.

Compared to dyadic adding machines, the infinite staircase has a more natural geometric presentation as a continuous flow on an infinite-genus infinite-measure space (see [8]), and has been studied in depth; ergodicity of all irrational staircases was established in [7], and the situation of α a quadratic irrational was shown to be *bounded rationally ergodic* (a stronger notion than ω-recurrence) in [3]. We will study in §3 the question of *generic* ω-recurrence in $\mathbb{Z}$-valued cocycles over irrational rotations: for almost-every choice of α, any such cocycle where f is of bounded variation is $1/n$-recurrent (Theorem 3.2). Furthermore, using techniques developed in [11], we will show in §4 that for any $\omega(n) \in o(n^{\epsilon})$ with $\epsilon < -1/2$ there is an uncountable set of α for which the infinite staircase is *not* ω-recurrent (Theorem 4.4). In §5 we will consider a particular class of *interval exchange transformations* to derive $(\log^m n/n^z)$-recurrence (Theorem 5.2), where the powers $m > 1$ and $z \leq 1$ are explicit. Finally, the proofs of two technical lemmas are included in §6 in order to prevent them from interrupting their respective sections.

2. ω-RECURRENCE, ERGODIC SUMS AND LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

Let $\{Y, \nu\}$ be a probability space and $S : Y \to Y$ be an ergodic transformation. Let $f : Y \to G$ be a function into a countable discrete group G with identity element e. Let $\{N_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and for each $y \in Y$ let

$$r_k(y) = \#\left\{ g \in G : g = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(S^i y), \quad n \in \{1, \ldots, N_k\} \right\}$$

denote the number of values taken by the ergodic sums of y through time N_k (as the zeroth ergodic sum for any point is always the identity element, we ignore this value). Fixing some $\epsilon_1 \in (0, 1]$, let

$$\rho_k = \min\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \nu\{y \in Y : r_k(y) \leq n\} \geq \epsilon_1 \right\},$$

and then denote

$$A_k = \left\{ y \in Y : r_k(y) \leq \rho_k \right\},$$

so that $\nu(A_k) \geq \epsilon_1$.

Fixing some choice of k, let $y \in A_k$ be arbitrary. The different elements of G which are realized as ergodic sums of y through time N_k we will refer to as *bins*, and the individual ergodic sums

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(S^i y)$$

for $n \leq N_k$ will be called *balls*; we have N_k balls distributed amongst no more than ρ_k different bins for each $y \in A_k$. Choose some $\epsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$. Then having chosen some $y \in A_k$, a particular bin g will be called ϵ_2-*crowded* if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_l} \chi_g \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(S^i y) \right) \geq \epsilon_2 \frac{N_k}{\rho_k}.$$

An ϵ_2-crowded bin represents a particular ergodic sum which is achieved as often (up to scaling by ϵ_2) as would be expected if the balls were distributed uniformly across the available bins.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $y \in A_k$, at least $(1 - \epsilon_2)N_k$ balls belong to an ϵ_2-crowded bin.*

Proof. A simple pigeonholing argument suffices, as *every* bin containing fewer than $\epsilon_2 N_k/\rho_k$ balls would account for no more than $\epsilon_2 N_k$ of the balls, leaving $(1-\epsilon_2)N_k$ balls in crowded bins. $\square$

Picking $\epsilon_3 \in (0, 1)$, a ball $m \in \{1, \ldots, N_k\}$ will be called ϵ_3-*predicting* if

$$\# \left\{ n \in \{1, \ldots, N_k\} : \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} f(S^i y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} f(S^i y) \right\} \geq \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 \frac{N_k}{\rho_k}.$$

An ϵ_3-predicting ball is a particular time whose ergodic sum is achieved many times within the next N_k sums. As every point $y \in A_k$ generates $(1 - \epsilon_2)N_k$ balls which are in ϵ_2-crowded bins, we find

Lemma 2.2. *For each $y \in A_k$, at least*

$$(1 - \epsilon_2)(1 - \epsilon_3)N_k - \rho_k$$

balls are ϵ_3-predicting.

Proof. Fix y and enumerate the ϵ_2-crowded bins by $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m$, where $m \leq \rho_k$. Let j_i be the number of balls in bin g_i, so that by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} j_i \geq (1 - \epsilon_2)N_k.$$

In bin i, then,

$$[(1 - \epsilon_3)j_i] = (1 - \epsilon_3)j_i - \{(1 - \epsilon_3)j_i\}$$

balls are ϵ_3-predicting, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x and $\{x\} = x - [x] = x \bmod 1$. Then the number of ϵ_3-crowded balls is at least

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} [(1 - \epsilon_3)j_i] \geq (1 - \epsilon_3)(1 - \epsilon_2)N_k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \{(1 - \epsilon_3)j_i\} > (1 - \epsilon_3)(1 - \epsilon_2)N_k - \rho_k. \ \square$$

Finally, denote

$$B_k = \left\{ y \in Y : \sum_{m=1}^{N_k} \chi_0 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f(S^i y) \right) \geq \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 (N_k/\rho_k) \right\},$$

the set of points which have at least $\epsilon_2 \epsilon_3 N_k/\rho_k$ ergodic sums equal to zero in the first N_k times. As ϵ_3-predicting balls see many ergodic sums equal to zero and there are many ϵ_3-predicting balls, by combining all the results of this section with the fact that the sequence of ergodic sums form an additive cocycle we have

Proposition 2.3.

$$\nu(B_k) \geq \frac{\epsilon_1\left((1-\epsilon_2)(1-\epsilon_3)N_k - \rho_k\right)}{N_k} > \epsilon_1(1-\epsilon_2)(1-\epsilon_3) - \frac{\rho_k}{N_k}.$$

Proof. First denote the sets

$$C_i = S^i(A_k), \quad \tilde{C}_i = \left(C_i \cap B_k\right).$$

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_k - 1$. As each ball within $C_0 = A_k$ has $(1-\epsilon_2)(1-\epsilon_3)N_k - \rho_k$ such balls as forward images, and $\nu(C_0) \geq \epsilon_1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_k-1} \nu(\tilde{C}_j) \geq \epsilon_1\left((1-\epsilon_2)(1-\epsilon_3)N_k - \rho_k\right),$$

so the measure of the union of the $\tilde{C}_j$ (which is contained within B_k) is at least this quantity divided by the number of sets, N_k. ☐

We state without proof the following elementary fact:

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $\{Y, \nu\}$ is a probability space, and $f : Y \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$. If $f(y) < \infty$ almost everywhere, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a set S of ν-measure $1 - \epsilon$ such that*

$$\int_S f(y) d\nu < \infty.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that $\rho_k \in o(N_k)$, and that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the inequality*

$$\frac{N_k}{\rho_k} \geq \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{N_i}{\rho_i} \tag{2}$$

holds for sufficiently large k. Then the system is ω-recurrent for all ω such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(N_k) \frac{N_k}{\rho_k} = \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose that k is such that (2) holds. Let ϵ be such that

$$0 < \epsilon < (1-\epsilon_2)(1-\epsilon_3).$$

Then we have

$$\epsilon \frac{N_k}{\rho_k} - \delta \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\epsilon \frac{N_i}{\rho_i}\right) \geq \delta\epsilon \frac{N_k}{\rho_k}.$$

So for some $y \in B_k$, when considering the contribution of terms through time N_k to the series (1), as $\rho_k \in o(N_k)$, we know that for large enough k at least $\epsilon N_k/\rho_k$ terms appear. To determine the contribution of those terms between N_{k-1} and N_k, we assume without loss of generality that for each $i < k$, a total of $\delta\epsilon N_i/\rho_i$ terms were 'used up' in computing the contribution of the terms between N_{i-1} and N_i; all remaining terms are then replaced with $\omega(N_i)$ (recall that ω is monotone). Our inequality ensures, then, that at least $\delta\epsilon N_k/\rho_k$ terms remain. Let $S \subset Y$ be an *arbitrary* set of measure

$$\nu(S) > 1 - \liminf_{k\to\infty} \mu(B_k).$$

By Proposition 2.3 and the assumption that $\rho_k \in o(N_k)$, there is some $\epsilon' > 0$ such that for large k

$$\nu(S \cap B_k) \geq \epsilon'.$$

Consider, then, the integral

$$\int_S \zeta(x) d\nu.$$

Let K be such that for all $k > K$ the set S contains a subset of B_k of measure ϵ' *and* (2) holds. So, between times N_{k-1} and N_k, many ergodic sums are zero on a set of nontrivial measure:

$$\int_S \zeta(x) d\nu \geq \epsilon' \delta \epsilon \sum_{k=K}^{\infty} \omega(N_k) \frac{N_k}{\rho_k} = \infty.$$

We have already remarked that $\zeta(x)$ is either finite almost everywhere or infinite almost everywhere; the former case is now excluded by Lemma 2.4. ☐

In summary, the term ϵ_1 is useful only in finding some set on which ρ_k can be controlled for some sequence N_k. The terms ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 are simple combinatorial 'placeholder' constants. The condition that $\rho_k \in o(N_k)$ can be thought of as requiring any nontrivial ρ_k, leaving only (2) as the only significant impediment to concluding ω-recurrence.

Suppose that G is a discrete metric group and at most d-dimensional: there is a constant C such that the number of points $g(r)$ in a ball of radius r centered at the identity is bounded by

$$g(r) \leq C r^d.$$

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that* $\{Y, \nu, S\}$ *is ergodic, with* $f : Y \to G$*, where* G *is discrete and of dimension no larger than* d*. Denote*

$$\lambda = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in S^1} \| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(S^i x) \| \right)}{\log n},$$

the principal Lyapunov exponent. If $\lambda < 1/d$ *and the skew product is ergodic, then for any* $\lambda' > \lambda$*, the skew product is* ω*-recurrent, where*

$$\omega(n) = \frac{1}{n^{(1-d\lambda')}}.$$

Proof. Between the dimension d and the principal Lyapunov exponent λ, for any $\lambda' > \lambda$ we may set $N_k = \gamma^k$ for some $\gamma > 1$ and (for sufficiently large k)

$$\rho_k = \gamma^{kd\lambda'}.$$

We may assume that λ' also satisfies $d\lambda' < 1$ so that $\rho_k \in o(N_k)$, and we apply Theorem 2.5; the verification of (2) is direct in this situation and is left to the reader. ☐

3. ω-RECURRENCE IN $\mathbb{Z}$-VALUED COCYCLES OVER ROTATIONS

We now set $Y = S^1$, ν be Lebesgue measure, $S(y) = y + \alpha \mod 1$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)\backslash\mathbb{Q}$, and $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ to be a step function with finitely many discontinuities (i.e. of bounded variation). We use *standard continued fraction* notation, where:

$$\alpha = [a_1, a_2, \ldots] = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}.$$

Denote p_n/q_n the n-th *convergent* to α, and let

$$\mathbf{a}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i.$$

It follows from the Dejoy-Koksma inequality and known metric results in Diophantine approximation (which we do not include herein; see for instance [5, §2]) that the principal Lyapunov exponent is, for almost every α, equal to zero. Corollary 2.6 allows us to conclude that every bounded variation $\mathbb{Z}$-valued cocycle over almost-every rotation is $(1/(\log n \cdot n^{1-\epsilon}))$-recurrent for all $\epsilon > 0$, but we will show something stronger. For every α, if we let (using the notation and terminology of §2) $N_k = q_k$, then we may set $\epsilon_1 = 1$ and $\rho_k = C\mathbf{a}_k$, where C is the (finite) variation of f (this is the content of the Denjoy-Koksma inequality). We will always assume our cocycles are ergodic, by which we mean ergodic with respect to Lebesgue measure.

The proof of the following Lemma is postponed until §6:

Lemma 3.1. *For almost every α, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for all k*

$$\delta \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} \le \frac{q_k}{\mathbf{a}_k}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *For almost every α, any ergodic bounded-variation $\mathbb{Z}$-valued cocycle over rotation by α is $1/n$ recurrent.*

Proof. It is known (see e.g. the final Corollary in [9]) that for almost-every α we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_i} = \infty.$$

Set $N_k = q_k$, $\rho_k = \mathbf{a}_k$, and $\omega(n) = 1/n$. Apply Theorem 2.5, justified by Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Remark. Using the fact that the sequence $\mathbf{a}_i/(i \log i)$ converges to $1/\log 2$ in measure ([9, §4]) for generic α it is possible to strengthen this recurrence to any ω of the form

$$\omega(n) = \frac{1}{n \cdot \log^{(3)} n \cdot \log^{(4)} n \cdots \log^{(j)} n},$$

where $\log^{(i)} n$ is the i-th iterated logarithm and j is arbitrary; approximating $\mathbf{a}_i > i \log i$ for all but a zero-denisty sequence of i and $q_i > (K - \epsilon)^i$ (where K is the

Khintchine-Levy constant) for all sufficiently large i and $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary, we see that

$$\omega(q_k)\frac{q_k}{\mathbf{a}_k} \approx \frac{1}{k \cdot \log k \cdot \log^{(2)} k \cdots \log^{(j-1)} k}$$

for all but a zero-density subsequence of k. Details of this extension are omitted in favor of the straightforward and simply-stated Theorem 3.2.

4. Different rates of ω-recurrence in the infinite staircase

We now turn our attention to the problem of *specific* α, and the rates of ω-recurrence in the associated infinite staircase. We will restrict the form of α:

$$\alpha = [2r_1, s_1, 2r_2, s_2, \ldots]. \tag{3}$$

This particular form of α has many nice Diophantine properties related to the infinite staircase and first appeared in [4]. It was shown in [11] that the orbit of the origin may be realized through a sequence of substitutions on a three-letter alphabet $\{A, B, C\}$. We will not present any background in substitutions; the following results are presented in detail, with all terminology defined, in [11]. Define the substitutions

$$\sigma_i : \begin{cases} A \to A\left(A^{r_i}B^{r_i-1}C\right)\left(A^{r_i}B^{r_i-1}C\right)^{s_i-1} \\ B \to A\left(A^{r_i-1}B^{r_i}C\right)\left(A^{r_i}B^{r_i-1}C\right)^{s_i-1} \\ C \to A\left(A^{r_i-1}B^{r_i}C\right)\left(A^{r_i}B^{r_i-1}C\right)^{s_i} \end{cases}$$

For convenience denote $\sigma^{(n)} = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_n$.

Proposition 4.1. *The symbolic coding of the orbit of the origin with respect to the labeling*

$$A = \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad B = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1-\alpha\right), \quad C = [1-\alpha, 1),$$

is given by the limiting word

$$W = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma^{(n)}(A).$$

Furthermore, the encoding of any point $x \in [0, 1)$ may be presented as beginning with the concatenated word $W_1(y)W_2(y)$, where $W_2(y) \in \{\sigma^{(n)}(A), \sigma^{(n)}(B), \sigma^{(n)}(C)\}$, and $W_1(y)$ is a proper right factor (possibly empty) of one of these words. Finally, the word $\sigma^{(n)}(A)$ is of length q_{2n}, and both $\sigma^{(n)}(B)$ and $\sigma^{(n)}(C)$ are of length $q_{2n} + q_{2n-1}$.

Proof. That the coding of the origin takes the form given is [11, Thm. 1.1, Prop. 4.3]. That the orbit of any y may be realized through the concatenation given follows from [11, Prop. 4.1]; it is also stated in [12, §2]. Finally, the lengths of all the words are computed in [11, Lem. 5.4]; we let

$$M_n = \begin{bmatrix} (2r_n-1)s_n+1 & s_n \\ (2r_n-1)s_n+r_n & s_n+1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and then we have

$$M_n \cdot M_{n-1} \cdots M_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left|\sigma^{(n)}(A)\right| \\ \left|\sigma^{(n)}(B)\right| = \left|\sigma^{(n)}(C)\right| \end{bmatrix},$$

and the computation of the lengths is then a direct inductive exercise which we leave to the reader. □

Given our labeling of the intervals A, B and C, given a word $P = p_1p_2\dots p_n$ of length n in this alphabet, we define

$$g(P) = \#\{i \le n : p_i = A\} - \#\{i \le n : p_i = B\} - \#\{i \le n : p_i = C\},$$

where $\#S$ denotes the cardinality of a set S. If P is the coding of the length $(n-1)$ orbit of some point x, then $g(P)$ is the n-th ergodic sum of x. Further denote for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$g(P,k) = \#\{i \le n : g(p_1p_2\dots p_i) = k\},$$

the number of times that the intermediate ergodic sums are equal to k.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose α is of the form given by* (3) *and the s_i are bounded. Then there is a constant τ such that for all n we have*

$$\max\left\{g(P,k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, P \in \{\sigma^{(n)}(A), \sigma^{(n)}(B), \sigma^{(n)}(C)\}\right\} \le \tau q_{2n-2}.$$

Proof. As the substitutions σ_i are homomorphisms, we consider the example of

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{(n)}(A) &= \sigma^{(n-1)}(\sigma_n A)\\ &= \sigma^{(n-1)}(A(A^{r_n}B^{r_n-1}C)^{s_n})\\ &= \sigma^{(n-1)}(A)\left[\left(\sigma^{(n-1)}(A)\right)^{r_n}\left(\sigma^{(n-1)}(B)\right)^{r_n-1}\left(\sigma^{(n-1)}(C)\right)\right]^{s_n}.\end{aligned}$$

It is direct to show inductively that for our substitutions σ_i, we have for all n

$$g(\sigma^{(n)}(A)) = 1, \quad g(\sigma^{(n)}(B)) = g(\sigma^{(n)}(C)) = -1;$$

see also [11, Prop. 5.1]. Consider as an example the word

$$P = \sigma^{(n)}(AB) = \sigma^{(n)}A \cdot \sigma^{(n)}B.$$

As $g(\sigma^{(n)}(A)) = 1$ and the sums are an additive cocycle, we have

$$g(P,k) = g(\sigma^{(n)}(A),k) + g(\sigma^{(n)}(B),k-1).$$

In this manner we have

$$\begin{aligned}g(\sigma^{(n)}(A),k) = g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(A),k) + s_n\Bigg(&\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(A),k-j) +\\ &\sum_{j=2}^{r_n+1} g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(B),k-j) + g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(C),k-1)\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Regardless of how large we choose to make r_n, we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r_n} g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(A),k-j) \le q_{2n-2},$$

as q_{2n-2} is the length of the word $\sigma^{(n-1)}(A)$ (part of Prop. 4.1). Similarly we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{r_n+1} g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(B),k-j) \le q_{2n-2} + q_{2n-3}, \quad g(\sigma^{(n-1)}(C),k-1) \le q_{2n-2} + q_{2n-3}.$$

If we take M to be such that $s_n \le M$, then

$$g(\sigma^{(n)}(A),k) \le q_{2n-2} + M(q_{2n-2} + 2(q_{2n-2} + q_{2n-3})) \le \tau q_{2n-2},$$

where the constant τ does not depend on the index n. Similar arguments apply to $\sigma^{(n)}(B)$ and $\sigma^{(n)}(C)$. □

Corollary 4.3. *For any x, if we denote $R_n(x)$ to be the symbolic encoding of the q_{2n}-length orbit of x, then there is a constant τ (independent of both x and n) such that*

$$\max\{g(R_n(x),k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \leq \tau q_{2n-2}.$$

Proof. Recall that the orbit of any point may be taken to begin with the concatenation of two words $W_1(x)$ and $W_2(x)$, where $W_2(x)$ is of length at least q_{2n}. Considering the words $W_0(x)$ and $W_1(x)$ independently, we need only double the constant τ from Lemma 4.2. □

Note that if the s_n are bounded, then for some τ' we have (independent of n)

$$2r_{n+1}q_{2n} < q_{2n+2} = (2r_{n+1}s_{n+1}+1)q_{2n} + 2r_{n+1}q_{2n-1} < \tau' r_{n+1}q_{2n}. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\omega(n) \in o(1/n^{\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 1/2$ (and be monotone decreasing and regularly varying). Then there is an uncountable set of α such that the infinite staircase is not ω-recurrent. In fact, there is an uncountable set of α for which there is a constant $K = K(\alpha)$ such that for all $x \in S^1$*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega(i) \cdot \chi_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f(x+j\alpha)\right) < K.$$

Proof. For any fixed x, denote

$$\phi_n = \sum_{i=1}^{q_{2n}} \omega(i) \cdot \chi_0\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f(x+j\alpha)\right).$$

Then we have

$$\phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_n + \sum_{l=1}^{2r_{n+1}s_{n+1}+1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_{2n}} \omega(lq_{2n}+j) \cdot \chi_0\left(\sum_{t=1}^{j} f(x+(l-1)q_{2n}\alpha + t\alpha)\right)\right).$$

Each index l represents a q_{2n}-length portion of the sum (between times $(l-1)q_{2n}$ and lq_{2n}), so by Corollary 4.3 (and monotonicty of ω) we have that each of these segments contributes no more than

$$\gamma q_{2n-2}\omega(lq_{2n})$$

to the series (1). Furthermore, via (4) and Corollary 4.3 we know that only so many of these q_{2n}-portions can contribute their maximal allowed contribution to the sum. So (recall again that ω is monotone):

$$\phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_n + \sum_{l=1}^{\tau' r_n} \tau q_{2n-2}\omega(lq_{2n}).$$

Now, by the assumption that $\omega(N) \in o(1/n^\epsilon)$ and by (4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=1}^{\tau' r_n} \tau q_{2n-2}\omega(lq_{2n}) &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\tau' r_n} \frac{\tau q_{2n-2}}{l^\epsilon (2r_n q_{2n-2})^\epsilon} \\ &< \frac{\tau q_{2n-2}^{1-\epsilon}}{(2r_n)^\epsilon} \sum_{l=1}^{\tau' r_n} \frac{1}{l^\epsilon} \\ &< \frac{\tau q_{2n-2}^{1-\epsilon}}{(2r_n)^\epsilon}(\tau' r_n + 1)^{1-\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

As q_{2n-2} does not depend on r_n, and $\epsilon > 1/2$, with $r_1, s_1, \dots, r_{n-1}, s_{n-1}$ fixed, we are free to set r_n large enough so that

$$\frac{\tau q_{2n-2}^{1-\epsilon}}{(2r_n)^\epsilon}(\tau' r_n + 1)^{1-\epsilon} < b_n,$$

where b_n is any fixed series such that $\sum b_n < \infty$; it follows that (1), the series $\zeta(x)$, is bounded by this sum for *every* x (the only term we can not control is the first, and $\phi_0 \leq \omega(1)$ for all x). As the choice of r_n is not specific (just some lower bound depending on prior partial quotients), the set of such α that we may construct in this manner is uncountable. ☐

Corollary 4.5. *For each $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$, there is an uncountable collection of α such that the infinite staircase in the direction α has Lyapunov exponent at least λ.*

Proof. Compare the conclusions of Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 2.6. Note that as the Lyapunov spectrum of a cocycle into $\mathbb{Z}$ is a single number, these are ergodic skew products with no zero Lyapounov exponents. ☐

We do not suppose that there is something special about the exponent 1/2; as large choices for r_n allow us to make as widely dispersed as desired the ergodic sums of the origin, and the ergodic sums of any point are tied to the ergodic sums of the origin, it appears likely that the conclusion of Theorem 4.4 can be extended to much weaker conditions on ω (presumably any $\sum \omega(n) = \infty$; see for example [11, Thm. 1.2] for the possible control over ρ_k).

5. Interval Exchanges of Periodic Type

We will not present any background in interval exchange transformations (IETs); an excellent survey on the subject is [13]. We note, however, that finding ρ_k, appropriate bounds on the growth of ergodic sums along some sequence of times N_k, is much more difficult than in the case of rotations. In the case that the IET is of *periodic type* (eventually periodic sequence of Rauzy classes), we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [6, Theorem 2.2]:

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ T^i(x) \right| \leq C \cdot (\log n)^{M+1} \cdot n^{\theta_2/\theta_1} \cdot V(\phi),$$

where $\phi : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$, $V(\phi)$ is the variation of ϕ, $0 \leq \theta_2 < \theta_1$ are the two largest Lyapunov exponents, and M is the size of the largest Jordan block in what is called the *periodic matrix* of T, and C is a constant not dependent on n; M is no larger than the number of exchanged intervals.

Assume, then, that T is an interval exchange of periodic type on M intervals, ergodic with respect to Lebesgue measure, that $\phi : S^1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is of bounded variation (equivalently, piecewise constant over finitely many intervals) and define for some fixed $\gamma > 1$

$$N_k = \gamma^k, \quad \rho_k = C k^{M+1} \gamma^{k\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}},$$

where the constant C does not depend on k. The proof of the following is postponed until §6:

Lemma 5.1. *For any $\gamma > 1$, we have*

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{\gamma^k}{\rho_k \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\gamma^i}{\rho_i}} > 0.$$

Then we may apply Theorem 2.5 to obtain a result stronger than that implied solely by Corollary 2.6:

Theorem 5.2. *If T is an ergodic IET of periodic type defined on M intervals and $\phi : S^1 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is of bounded variation, then the cocycle is ω-recurrent, where*

$$\omega(n) = \frac{(\log n)^M}{n^{\frac{\theta_1-\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdot \log^{(2)} n \cdot \log^{(3)} n \cdots \log^{(j)} n},$$

where $\log^{(i)} n$ again represents the i-th iterated logarithm and j is arbitrary.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\gamma^k \omega(\gamma^k)}{\rho_k} > \frac{C' \gamma^k k^M}{\gamma^{k\frac{\theta_1-\theta_2}{\theta_1}} k^{M+1} \gamma^{k\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}} \cdot \log k \cdot \log^{(2)} k \cdots \log^{(j-1)} k} = \frac{C'}{k \cdot \log k \cdots \log^{(j-1)} k},$$

and the proof is completed with an appeal to Theorem 2.5. □

Note that the recurrence of such cocycles is strongest for $\theta_2 = 0$ (the denominator of $\omega(n)$ contains a full power of n, as in Theorem 3.2). The ergodicity of cocycles over interval exchanges is increasingly seen to be interwoven with zero values for non-principal Lyapunov exponents, and this distinction makes an cameo appearance here in ω-recurrence as well.

6. Proof of Lemmas 3.1 and 5.1

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We first wish to show that for almost every α, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} \le \frac{q_k}{\mathbf{a}_k}.$$

Equivalently, we will show that there is an M such that

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}_k}{q_k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} \le M.$$

Denote

$$C_k = \frac{\mathbf{a}_k}{q_k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i}.$$

Then we have for $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k+1} &= \frac{\mathbf{a}_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{a}_k + a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1}} \left(\frac{q_k}{\mathbf{a}_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} \right) \\ &< \frac{\mathbf{a}_k + a_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}q_k} \left(\frac{q_k}{\mathbf{a}_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a_{k+1}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_k} \right) + \frac{C_k}{a_{k+1}} + \frac{C_k}{\mathbf{a}_k} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{a}_k} + \frac{1}{a_{k+1}} \right) (C_k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

For *any* α we have $\mathbf{a}_k \to \infty$, so for arbitrary $\tau > 0$, for all $a_{k+1} \geq 2$ we eventually have

$$C_{k+1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau \right) (C_k + 1)$$

If $C_k \geq 4$ and $a_{k+1} \geq 2$, then, we have

$$C_{k+1} < \frac{2}{3} C_k,$$

while for $C_k \leq 4$ and $a_{k+1} \geq 2$ we have $C_{k+1} < 3$. Suppose, then, that $a_{n+i} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. In this case we have

$$\mathbf{a}_{n+i} = \mathbf{a}_n + i, \quad q_{n+i} = \varphi_i q_n + \varphi_{i-1} q_{n-1},$$

where φ_i is the i-th Fibonacci number (beginning with $\phi_0 = \phi_1 = 1$ and $\phi_{-1} = 0$ by convention). Then we have for $m, n > 0$

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}_{n+m}}{q_{n+m}} \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} < \frac{\mathbf{a}_n + m}{\varphi_m q_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_i}{\mathbf{a}_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\varphi_i q_n + \varphi_{i-1} q_{n-1}}{\mathbf{a}_n + i} \right).$$

As $q_{n-1} < q_n$ and $\varphi_{n-1} + \varphi_n = \varphi_{n+1}$, we have

$$C_{n+m} < \frac{\mathbf{a}_n + m}{\mathbf{a}_n \varphi_m} C_n + \frac{\mathbf{a}_n + m}{\mathbf{a}_n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\varphi_m}.$$

The Fibonacci sequence is exponentially growing, so as $m \to \infty$, the first term decreases to zero, and the sum in the second term approaches the limit of a convergent series. To complete the proof of the Lemma we need only address the term

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}_n + m}{\mathbf{a}_n} = 1 + \frac{m}{\mathbf{a}_n}.$$

If α is such that for sufficiently large n we always have $a_n \geq 2$, the conclusion is clearly satisfied for such α. Disregarding the null set of α for which all sufficiently large $a_n = 1$, then, we define for each α with infinitely many $a_n = 1$ and infinitely many $a_n \neq 1$ a sequence of pairs of positive integers (n_i, m_i) as follows: for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m_i$, each $a_{n_i + j} = 1$, and all partial quotients which are one appear in this manner. If we have

$$\limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{m_i}{n_i} \geq 1,$$

then it follows that in the sequence of partial quotients, the subsequence of $a_i = 1$ is of upper density at least one half. For generic α this density is $(\log 4 - \log 3)/\log 2 < 1/2$, so for almost every α we eventually have for those appearances of m successive $a_{n+i} = 1$

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}_n + m}{\mathbf{a}_n} \leq 1 + \frac{m}{n} < 2. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Lemma 5.1 is very similar, except that $N_k = \gamma^k$ for some $\gamma > 0$ does not depend on any dynamic or geometric data like partial quotients. Again, we will show that C_k are bounded, where

$$C_k = \frac{\rho_k}{\gamma^k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\gamma^i}{\rho_i}.$$

We first rearrange

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{Ck^{M+1}\gamma^{k\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}}\gamma^i}{Ci^{M+1}\gamma^{i\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}}\gamma^k} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{k}{i}\right)^{M+1} \frac{\gamma^{(k-i)\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}}}{\gamma^{k-i}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{k}{k-j}\right)^{M+1} \gamma^{j\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{\theta_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we make the simple substitution $j = k - i$ in the last line. We will break this sum into two parts $C_k = S_1(k) + S_2(k)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} T(k) &= \left[\frac{\theta_1(M+1)\log k}{(\theta_1-\theta_2)\log\gamma}\right], \\ S_1(k) &= \sum_{j=1}^{T(k)} \left(\frac{k}{k-j}\right)^{M+1} \gamma^{j\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{\theta_1}}, \\ S_2(k) &= \sum_{j=T(k)+1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{k}{k-j}\right)^{M+1} \gamma^{j\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{\theta_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The relevance of this cutoff $T(k)$ is that for $j > T(k)$ we have

$$\gamma^{j(\theta_2-\theta_1)/\theta_1} < \frac{1}{k^{M+1}},$$

so we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\leq \sum_{j=T(k)+1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{k}{k-j}\right)^{M+1} \frac{1}{k^{M+1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{k}{k-j}\right)^{M+1} \frac{1}{k^{M+1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{k^{M+1}}{i^{M+1}k^{M+1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{M+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

so S_2 is bounded by the same constant regardless of k. On the other hand, S_1 now involves only terms with comparatively small values of the index j:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{T(k)} \left(\frac{k}{k-j}\right)^{M+1} \gamma^{j\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{\theta_1}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{k}{k-T(k)}\right)^{(M+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{T(k)} \gamma^{j\frac{\theta_2-\theta_1}{\theta_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum is convergent (letting $k \to \infty$) as $\theta_2 < \theta_1$ and $\gamma > 1$. On the other hand, as $T(k) \in o(k)$, the fraction $k/(k-T(k))$ converges to one as $k \to \infty$. As the power $M+1$ is not dependent on k, then, S_1 is also bounded. □

7. Concluding Remarks

The *Central Limit Theorem* and *Law of the Iterated Logarithm*, if known in a certain skew product, allows for stronger choices of ρ_k. The problem of establishing the CLT in the context of skew products over rotations and interval exchanges is the subject of active research by numerous mathematicians; any results have immediate implications in ω-recurrence through Theorem 2.5.

References

[1] J. Aaronson, *The eigenvalues of nonsingular transformations*, Israel J. Math. **45** (1983), no. 4, 297–312.

[2] ———, *Category theorems for some ergodic multiplier properties*, Israel J. Math. **51** (1985), no. 1-2, 151–162.

[3] Jon Aaronson and Michael Keane, *The visitors to zero of some deterministic random walks*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s3-44** (1982), no. 3, 535–553.

[4] Michael Boshernitzan and David Ralston, *Continued fractions and heavy sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 10, 3177–3185. MR 2515388 (2010i:11116)

[5] Jean-Pierre Conze, *Recurrence, ergodicity and invariant measures for cocycles over a rotation*, Contemporary Mathematics **485** (2009), 45–70.

[6] Jean-Pierre Conze and Krzysztof Fraczek, *Cocycles over interval exchange transformations and multivalued hamiltonian flows*, Advances in Mathematics **226** (2011), no. 5, 4373 – 4428.

[7] J.P. Conze and Michael Keane, *Ergodicite dun flot cylindrique*, Fasc. I Proba. Rennes (1976).

[8] W.P. Hooper, P. Hubert, and B. Weiss, *Dynamics on the infinite staircase surface*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys., to appear.

[9] A. Khintchine, *Metrische Kettenbruchprobleme*, Compositio Math. **1** (1935), 361–382. MR 1556899

[10] U. Krengel, *Classification of states for operators*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. on Math. Statist. and Prob. **2** (1967), 415–429.

[11] David Ralston, *Substitutions and* $1/2$*-discrepancy sums of* $\{n\theta+x\}$, arxiv.org/abs/1105.5810.

[12] ______, *Substitutions and* $1/2$*-discrepancy sums of* $\{n\theta+x\}$ II, arxiv.org/abs/1105.6301.

[13] Marcelo Viana, *Ergodic theory of interval exchange maps*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **19** (2006), no. 1, 7–100. MR 2219821 (2007f:37002)

Department of Mathematics, 5734 S. University Avenue, Chicago IL, 60637
E-mail address: `jonchaika@math.uchicago.edu`

Ben Gurion University, Department of Mathematics, POB 653, Beer Sheva, 84105, ISRAEL
E-mail address: `ralston.david.s@gmail.com`